Atty

Lind, Ruth P. (for Public Guardian – Conservator)

(1) Thirteenth Account and Report of Successor Conservator and (2) Petition for Compensation to Conservator and Her Attorney

Age: 62			PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Petitioner.	
			Account period: 03/01/12 - 02/28/13	
			Account penda. 03/01/12 - 02/26/13	
_			Accounting - \$769,015.91	
Co	nt. from	I	Beginning POH - \$735,833.81	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Ending POH - \$718,577.80	
✓	Verified		Conservator - \$1,984.96	
	Inventory		(23.20 Staff hours @ \$76/hr. and 2.31	
	PTC		Deputy hours @ \$96/hr.)	
_	Not.Cred.		, ,	
✓	Notice of		Attorney - \$1,000.00 (per	
	Hrg	 	Local Rule)	
√	Aff.Mail	w/	Bond Fee - \$1,796.44 (ok)	
	Aff.Pub.		- 31,778.44 (OK)	
	Sp.Ntc.		Petitioner prays for an Order:	
	Pers.Serv.		 Approving, allowing and settling 	
	Conf.		the thirteenth account;	
	Screen		2. Authorizing the conservator and	
	Letters		attorney's fees and commissions; 3. Authorizing payment of the bond	
	Duties/Supp		fee; and	
	Objections		4. Finding that the conservatee is	
	Video		able to complete an affidavit of	
	Receipt		voter registration and is entitled to	
<u> </u>	CI Report	<u> </u>	vote.	
	2620(c)	n/a	Count investigation less if a David Eller	
<u> </u>	Order		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a report on 05/07/13.	
	Aff. Posting		16poil 011 03/07/13.	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 05/08/13
-	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 1A – Olguin

1A

Knudson, David N. (Court appointed for Conservatee)
Petition for Attorney's Fees [Prob. C. 1470(b)]

Ag	e: 62		DAVID KNUDSON, Petitioner, was Court	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			appointed to represent the	
			Conservatee on 09/08/09 and then re-	1. Need Order.
			appointed on 06/27/11.	
	nt. from		PUBLIC GUARDIAN was appointed	
		1	successor conservator of the person	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		and estate on 12/13/96.	
✓	Verified		Datition and according to the contraction	
	Inventory		Petitioner requests fees in connection	
	PTC		with the representation of the Conservatee.	
	Not.Cred.		Conservatee.	
√	Notice of		Petitioner asks that he be paid from	
	Hrg		the conservatorship estate for 7.3	
√	Aff.Mail	w/	attorney hours @ \$275.00/hr. and 1.6	
	Aff.Pub.		paralegal hours @ \$80.00/hr. for a total	
	Sp.Ntc.		fee request of \$2,135.50.	
	Pers.Serv.		Services are itemized by date and	
	Conf.		includes meeting with client, reviewing	
	Screen		court accountings, responding to the	
	Letters		clients concerns, and contacting	
	Duties/Supp		deputy public guardian as needed.	
	Objections		Datitionar states that in his avnariance	
	Video		Petitioner states that in his experience, the Conservatee appreciates having	
	Receipt		an attorney to represent her interests,	
	CI Report		to discuss her concerns with, and as	
	9202		necessary, to act as an advocate on	
	Order	Х	her behalf and to explain court	
	Aff. Posting		procedures and things that may arise.	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt		Petitioner believes it is in the best	Reviewed on: 05/08/13
	UCCJEA		interest of the Conservatee that he	Updates:
	Citation		continue to represent her and feels it will also be helpful in the ongoing	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		administration of the conservatorship.	File 1B – Olguin

Atty

Teixeira, J. Stanley (Court Appointed for Thelma Day)

Petition for Compensation of Court-Appointed Independent Counsel

Δο	je: 98		J. STANLEY TEIXEIRA, court appointed	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
^9	. 70		attorney for Thelma Day, is petitioner.	NEEDS) I NOBELINIS, COMMENTS.
			, , , , ,	
			Petitioner states that on 8/9/12 the	
			Court appointed him to represent	
	ont. from		Thelma Day, and to perform any	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		reasonable legal services in	
			connection with this matter.	
Ě	Verified		Petitioner states he has rendered	
-	Inventory		services in connection with the Order	
<u> </u>	PTC		and on behalf of Thelma Day. Those	
	Not.Cred.		services include the following: visiting	
✓	Notice of		Ms. Day to discuss issues at hand,	
	Hrg		investigating the needs of Ms. Day and	
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	ascertaining the most suitable legal	
	Aff.Pub.		and other procedures available for her benefit and to meet those needs;	
	Sp.Ntc.		consulting with others concerned or	
	Pers.Serv.		involved with issues affecting Ms. Day's	
	Conf.		care, needs and living trust; and	
	Screen		appearance at meetings, depositions	
	Letters		and hearings on the matter,	
	Duties/Supp		participation in settlement	
	Objections		conference, resulting in settlement of	
	Video		matters.	
	Receipt		Petitioner requests that he be paid	
	CI Report		\$4,341.02 for 17.4 hours @ \$250 per	
	9202		hour, and reimbursement for costs	
✓	Order		incurred in the amount of \$435.00 for a	
	Aff. Posting		total fee request of \$4,776.02.	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt		Wherefore Politioner prays for an	Reviewed on: 05/08/13
	UCCJEA		Wherefore, Petitioner prays for an order fixing and allowing	Updates:
	Citation		compensation and costs incurred in	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		the amount of \$4,776.02.	File 2 – Day

3

Atty Ramirez, Jr., Edward R., of Ramirez Law Office (for Petitioner Deborah L. Miller)

(1) Report on Waiver Account and (2) Petition for Final Distribution for (3) Allowance of Compensation to Attorneys for Ordinary Services (Prob. C. 10954, 1060 et. seq., 10800, 10811, 12201)

DOD: 5/23/2007 **DEBORAH L. MILLER**, daughter and **NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:** Administrator, is Petitioner. OFF CALENDAR Accounting is waived. Amended Report of Waiver of Cont. from 041013 Account filed 5/3/2013, set for Aff.Sub.Wit. hearing on 6/11/2013. 1 & A \$351,124.14 Verified POH \$370,847.40 Inventory 1. Petition does not contain a PTC Χ statement regarding whether notice has been sent to the Not.Cred. Administrator waives Franchise Tax Board as Notice of N/A required pursuant to Probate Hrg Code 9202(c)(1) for estates in \$10,022.48 Aff.Mail Attorney which Letters were issued (less than statutory) Aff.Pub. 7/1/2008 or after, and Court Sp.Ntc. records contain no proof of Pers.Serv. service of such notice. Need proof of service of notice to Conf. Distribution pursuant to intestate the Franchise Tax Board. Screen succession is to: 062112 Letters 2. Petition does not contain a **DEBORAH L. MILLER?** or **Duties/Supp** statement pursuant to Probate **Objections** Code §§ 216 and 9202(b) [Unnamed] Trustee of the TED W. Video regarding notice to the **SAVELAND LIVING TRUST?** – entire estate Receipt Director of the CA Victim consisting of interests in real property **CI Report** Compensation and mortgages and [\$ an unspecified Government Claims Board. 9202 Χ amount of] cash. Petitioner is the sole heir of the Order estate and such notice appears unnecessary; however, the Petition should so state. ~Please see additional page~ Aff. Posting Reviewed by: LEG Status Rpt **Reviewed on:** 5/8/13 **UCCJEA Updates:** Citation **Recommendation:** Χ File 3 - Saveland **FTB Notice**

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, continued:

- 3. Final Inventory and Appraisal filed on 10/22/2012 is incomplete at Item 5 re: property tax certificate, as required by Probate Code § 8800(d). Need statement regarding Property Tax Certificate. (Revenue and Tax Code § 480.)
- 4. Paragraph 13 of the *Petition* states Petitioner requests that all assets of the estate be distributed to the **TED W. SAVELAND LIVING TRUST**. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.12.5, if property in the estate is to be distributed to a pre-existing trust, the current trustee must file a declaration setting forth the name of the trust, its establishment date, and taxpayer identification number, verifying that the trust is in full force and effect, and that the trustee has an executed copy of the trust in possession. Need the name of the Trustee of the **TED W. SAVELAND LIVING TRUST**, as well as a declaration of trust to be filed with the Court prior to distribution of the estate.
- 5. Proposed order is inconsistent with the Petition in that the Petition requests distribution to the TED W. SAVELAND LIVING TRUST while the proposed order requests distribution to Deborah L. Miller pursuant to intestate succession. Additionally, the proposed order does not comply with Local Rule 7.6.1 (C) requiring that the order specifically note the amount of cash included in the balance of estate property on hand.

Note: Receipts reported during this account period are not included in the calculation of the statutory fee contained in Paragraph 15 of the *Petition*. Pursuant to Probate Code § 10800(b), statutory fee base calculation uses the total amount of the appraisal value of the property in the inventory plus receipts. Correct statutory fee calculation for this estate is **\$10,416.95**. Paragraph 15 states the fee base for calculation is "as agreed to by attorney and Petitioner" but does not make clear that the lesser amount requested for statutory attorney fees has been agreed upon by attorney and Petitioner such that the attorney is accepting less than statutorily allowed in fees. Court may require clarification.

Robert L. Rodgers & Betty I. Rodgers Trust 5-17-95 Case No. 12CEPR00931

Atty Burnside, Leigh W. (for Peggy L. Rodgers – Co-Trustee/Petitioner)

4

Petition for Relief from Mistake, Inadvertence, Surprise or Excusable Neglect; for Re-Appointment as Co-Trustee [CCP 473(b); Prob. C. 1000, 17200 et seq]

Robert		PE	GGY L. ROGERS, Co-Trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DOD: 05/16/07		_			
				titioner alleges:	1. Need Order.
			١.	Petitioner was a duly nominated and	
				acting Co-Trustee of the Robert Lewis	
Со	nt. from 040813			Rodgers and Betty Irene Rodgers Royceable Living Trust (the "Trust")	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			Revocable Living Trust (the "Trust") dated 05/17/95. Petitioner is one of the	
√	Verified			daughters of Co-Settlors Robert Rodgers	
	Inventory			and Betty Rodgers.	
	PTC		2.	Petitioner became a Co-Trustee of the	
				Trust following the death of her father,	
	Not.Cred.]		Robert, on 05/16/07. Thereafter, the	
V	Notice of Hrg			successor Co-Trustees of the Trust were	
Y	Aff.Mail	w/o		the surviving Settlor, Betty Rodgers	
	Aff.Pub.			("Betty"), Petitioner, and Petitioner's	
	Sp.Ntc.			sister, Susan Rodgers McDonald	
	Pers.Serv.		2	("Susan").	
	Conf. Screen		3.	The principal place of administration of the Trust is Fresno County.	
	Letters		4.	On or about 10/17/12, Betty filed a	
	Duties/Supp		7.	Petition to Remove Trustee and to	
	Objections			Appoint Successor Trustee in this	
	Video			proceeding. Therein Betty alleged that	
	Receipt			Petitioner had breached her duties as	
	CI Report			Co-Trustee under Probate Code § 15642	
	9202			and requested the Court remove her as	
	Order	Х		a Co-Trustee. The matter was heard by	
	Aff. Posting			the Court on 12/04/12 issued an order	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt			removing Petitioner as Co-Trustee and	Reviewed on: 05/08/13
	UCCJEA			appointing Bruce Bickel as the sole successor trustee of the Trust on	Updates:
	Citation			12/11/12.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		5.	Petitioner requests that the Court set	File 4 - Rodgers
			٥.	aside the Order dated 12/11/12 under	ino i nougon
				California Code of Civil Procedure §	
				473(b) on the grounds the Order was a	
				the result of mistake, inadvertence,	
				surprise or excusable neglect on the	
				part of Petitioner. Petitioner was	
				unaware that the petition had been	
				filed and unaware of the proceeding.	
				Had Petitioner known of the Petition and	
				the hearing, she would have objected	
				on the grounds that the allegations in the Petition are untrue.	
				Continued on Page 2	
<u> </u>		l	I	Commuted on Fage 2	

4 Robert L. Rodgers & Betty I. Rodgers Trust 5-17-95 Case No. 12CEPR00931

Page 2

- 6. Petitioner is a full-time employee of Kerman Unified School District. She lives in Fresno with her husband, Wayne King ("Mr. King"). Mr. King became permanently disabled in 1967 after suffering a traumatic brain injury. As a result of the injury, Mr. King has significant difficulties with his memory and needs assistance with daily living tasks. Petitioner's mother, Betty, and her sister, Susan, are familiar with Mr. King's disability and are well aware of his memory difficulties. Betty has long known that she must telephone Petitioner or personally notify her if she drops mail or other items at Petitioner's home while Petitioner is at work because Mr. King will either forget to tell Petitioner or will place the items somewhere in their home and forget them. Because of these circumstances, Betty made it a common practice to call Petitioner whenever she brought something over to her house while Petitioner was at work. By doing so, Petitioner was able to find whatever Betty had brought over since in most instances, Mr. King had forgotten that Betty had come by or had forgotten where the item had been placed.
- 7. At no time did Betty contact Petitioner to let her know that she had filed a petition accusing Petitioner of fiduciary misconduct and requesting that the Court remove Petitioner as a Co-Trustee. Further, at no time did Betty notify Petitioner directly to let her know that a copy of the Petition had been mailed to Petitioner's home. Accordingly, Petitioner was unaware of the Petition, unaware of the allegations by Betty, and unaware of the December 4, 2012 hearing. Had Petitioner known of the proceedings, she would have retained counsel, filed an objection and appeared at the hearing to challenge the allegations of misconduct.
- 8. On or about 01/08/13, Petitioner was looking through some paperwork in a drawer in her home and discovered a manila envelope she had not previously seen. Inside she found a copy of Betty's petition and a Notice of Hearing for the 12/04/12 date. Petitioner thereafter met with and retained legal counsel to represent her interests as Co-Trustee.
- 9. To Petitioner's knowledge, she was never served with a copy of the 12/11/12 Order removing her as Co-Trustee and appointing Bruce Bickel as sole successor trustee. Petitioner's counsel obtained a copy of the Order from Mr. Bickel after calling him to discuss the matter.
- 10. Petitioner requests the Court set aside its 12/11/12 Order on the ground that its entry was the result of mistake, surprise, inadvertence or excusable neglect on Petitioner's part, and allow her the opportunity to challenge the allegations in the petition. Petitioner asserts that she has always made every effort to accommodate her mother's needs in regard to the administration of the Trust and wishes to maintain shared responsibility for Trust administration as Co-Trustee for Betty's benefit.

Points & Authorities:

- 1. There is no dispute that a copy of Betty's Petition was mailed to Petitioner along with a Notice of Hearing for the 12/04/12 hearing.
- 2. Petitioner respectfully submits that the circumstances of her husband's disability warrant additional consideration. As noted, both of the other Co-Trustees, Betty and Susan, are well aware that Petitioner needs direct notification when important papers or other such items are delivered to her home because, without such notification, she will not know of the paperwork or its delivery. Further Betty should have known that Petitioner had not received the petition when she did not appear at the hearing on 12/04/12, did not file an objection, and did not contact her mother (Betty) about the allegations of fiduciary misconduct.
- 3. CCP § 473(b) states that the Court is empowered to relieve a party "upon any terms as may be just...from a judgment, dismissal, order, or other proceeding taken against him or her through his mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect." The reference to "judgment...order, or other proceedings" includes any step taken in a case, whether by the Court or by one of the parties: "Anything done from the commencement to the termination is a proceeding." (Zellerino v. Brown (1991) 235Cap.App.3d 1097, 1105)

Continued on Page 3

4 Robert L. Rodgers & Betty I. Rodgers Trust 5-17-95 Case No. 12CEPR00931

Page 2

- 4. Here, Petitioner, through no fault or negligence of her own, was unaware of the Petition or the hearing thereon. Petitioner thus submits the Order should be set aside on the ground of surprise. "Surprise" refers to "some condition or situation in which a party...is unexpectedly placed to his injury, without any default or negligence of his own, which ordinary prudence could not have guarded against." (Credit Managers Ass'n of So. Calif. v. National Independent Business Alliance (1984) 162 Cal.App.3d 1166, 1173.)
- 5. Likewise, the Court may set aside an order entered as a result of "excusable neglect." (Cal. Code of Civ. Proc. § 473(b).) In such instance, the Court's focus is on whether the moving party has shown a reasonable excuse for the default. (Shapiro v. Clark (2008) 164 Cal.App.4th 1128, 1141-1142.) In other words, the moving party must show that the neglect was excusable, i.e. that the default could not have been avoided through the exercise of ordinary care. (Jackson v. Bank of America (1983) 141Cal.App.3d 55, 58 (holding "the acts which brought about the default must have been the acts of a reasonably prudent person under the same circumstances").)
- 6. In the matter of Kesselman v. Kesselmen (1963) 212 Cal.App.2d 196, 207-208, the Court held that evidence that the defendant was seriously ill, or feeble, or unable to understand that he was being served with process, is sufficient to justify discretionary relief under section 473(b). Similarly, evidence that the defendant mislaid or misfiled the papers, and as a result failed to contact an attorney in time, may show "excusable neglect." (Bernards v. Grey (1950) 97 Cal.App. 2d 679, 683-686.) Neglect by a third person is also a sufficient basis for setting aside a default or order on the basis of "excusable neglect." (See, e.g., Benjamin v. Dalmo Mfg. Co. (1948) 31 Cal.2d 523, 526-527 (relief granted after defendant's secretary misunderstood defendant's instruction to send complaint and summons to defendant's attorney and instead put them in defendant's file); Fasuyi v. Permatex, Inc. (2008) 167 Cal.App.4th 681, 694 (relief granted where insured defendant promptly turned summons and complaint over to its insurance broker, who forwarded it to the insurer who inexplicably misplaced it and failed to file a timely answer).)
- 7. Petitioner, through no fault of her own, had no knowledge of the Petition filed by Betty. Had she known of the Petition, she would have retained counsel, filed an objection and deny the allegations of misconduct. Further, even were the Court to find that Petitioner's failure to discover or locate the Petition was nealigent, she submits the nealect was excusable under the circumstances.
- 8. For these reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests the Court set aside its 12/11/12 Order pursuant to CCP 473(b) on the grounds of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, and allow Peggy the opportunity to defend the allegations of misconduct set forth in Betty's Petition. Petitioner further requests the Court reinstate her as a Co-Trustee of the Trust. [Petitioner's proposed objection attached].

Petitioner prays for an Order as follows:

- 1. Excusing her from the Order entered on 12/11/12, on the grounds of mistake, inadvertence, surprise and/or excusable neglect under CCP § 473(b).
- 2. Permitting Petitioner to file the proposed Objection attached to this Petition.
- 3. Reinstating Peggy as a co-trustee of the Trust until such time as the Court adjudicates the Petition and Petitioner's objection thereto; and
- 4. For reasonable costs incurred.

Case No. 13CEPR00134

Thelma L. Day (CONS/PE)

Day, Montie S. (of Henderson, Nevada – Representing himself as son/Petitioner)

Teixeira, Stanley (Court appointed for proposed conservatee)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

		1020, 1021, 2000 2002)	
Ag	e: 98	NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		mONTIE S. DAY, son, is Petitioner and requests appointment as Conservator of the Person and Conservator of the Estate & 1000 bond and with Probate	CONTINUED FROM 04/02/13 Minute order from 04/02/13 states: Court informs counsel to make sure the issue with Ms. Day's care facility is taken care of.
Co	ont. from 040213	Code § 2590 powers.	Court Investigator advised rights on 03/15/13.
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Estimated Value of the Estate Personal property - \$10,000.00	Voting rights affected, need minute order.
✓	Verified	<u>Annual income</u> - 13,600.00	
	Inventory	Total - \$23,600.00	As of 05/07/13, nothing further has been filed and the following items remain:
	PTC	Petitioner alleges that Ms. Day suffers from dementia and Alzheimer's disease	Petitioner requests appointment without
	Not.Cred.	and has since before 2008. She has	bond; however, effective 1/1/2008, pursuant to CRC 7.207, except as otherwise provided
✓	Notice of Hrg	been incompetent to both manage her	by statute, every conservator or guardian of
✓	Aff.Mail	finances and/or resist fraud/undue influence since 2008. Ms. Day is	the estate appointed after 12/31/2007, must furnish a bond, including a reasonable
	Aff.Pub.	currently residing in a care facility and	amount for the cost of recovery to collect
	Sp.Ntc.	requires total care. The management of Ms. Day's trust is currently being	the bond under Probate Code 2320(c)(4)). Per information provided in the Petition,
✓	Pers.Serv.	adjudicated in case 10CEPR00998 and	bond should be set at \$25,960.00. Bond
✓	Conf. Screen	Petitioner asks that the court take	calculation worksheet in the file for reference.
✓	Letters	judicial notice of two physician's certificates attesting to Ms. Day's	 Petitioners are requesting the court grant
✓	Duties/Supp	incompetence in that matter.	powers under Probate Code § 2590, which
	Objections	Petitioner is requesting the power to sell	powers are outlined in § 2591. Any powers granted must be specified in the order. The
✓	Video	personal or real property (other than the personal residence of the	submitted Order does not have an
✓	Receipt	conservatee) of the estate without	attachment outlining the requested powers. Need attachment 24 to the Order.
Ě	CI Report	court confirmation and the power to pay, collect, compromise, or otherwise	
✓	9202 Order	adjust claims, debts, or demands upon	
Ė	Aff. Posting	the conservatorship as described in	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	subdivision (a) of § 2501, 2502 or 2504, or to arbitrate any dispute described in	Reviewed on: 05/07/13
	UCCJEA	S 2406. Petitioner is requesting to be	Updates: 05/09/13
√	Citation	appointed without bond and states that in 1993, while competent, Ms. Day	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	nominated him to serve as successor trustee of her trust and to serve without bond.	File 5 - Day
		Court Investigator Jennifer Daniel filed a report on 03/26/13.	
		Continued on Page 2	

Page 2

Supplement to Petition and Status Report Re: Continued hearing filed 05/08/13 by Petitioner, Montie Day, states:

- 1. Petitioner is not requesting medical consent powers.
- 2. Petitioner states that the petition states the personal assets of the conservatee is likely \$10,000.00, which is likely high. The Court has the right to waive bond with the finding of good cause. Petitioner states that the conservatee's personal assets consist of used furniture, two burial plots, and unknown contracts, including a contract for funeral services and the personal property included potential claims for misappropriated money which has since been "waived" as a result of the settlement in the trust litigation, and a small monthly social security benefit. Petitioner states that the nature of the assets make them unlikely to be misappropriated and the conservatee's monthly income is used for her care at a professional care facility and asserts that bond should therefore be waived or set at \$10.00.
- 3. Petitioner states that the §2590 powers requested are:
 - a. The power to sell at public or private sale real property of the estate without confirmation of the court of the sale, other than the personal residence of a conservatee.
 - b. The power to pay, collect, compromise, or otherwise adjust claims, debts, or demands upon the guardianship or conservatorship described in subdivision (a) of Section 2501, Section 2502 or 2504, or to arbitrate any dispute described in Section 2406.

By virtue of the "settlement" in the trust litigation, Thelma Day as an individual waives claims known or unknown with respect to all parties in the litigation which resulted in the depletion of the Thelma Day Trust assets, there are potential contingent obligations to pay debts and/or potential claims which may arise in the future and do not involve the Thelma Day Trust. Petitioner, as trustee of the Thelma Day Trust, may not "add" to the Thelma Day Trust assets, and may not contract for the benefit of Thelma Day. While the trustee acts on behalf of the Thelma Day Trust, the trustee has no recognized authority to act on behalf of Thelma Day the individual.

Atty Atty Buettner, Michael M (for Petitioner David Hill)

Burnside, Leigh (for Respondents Kent Catich and Sharon Catich)

Petition Confirming Trustee, Instructing the Trustee, Determining Validity of Trust Amendments, Ascertaining Beneficiaries and Determining to Whom Property Shall Pass, and Determining Questions of the Construction of the Trust [Prob. C. 200(b)(1), (3), (4), (6), (10), 21700]

			DAVID HILL, currently acting Trustee of the	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			JACK G. CATICH REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, is petitioner.	
Co	nt. from		Petitioner states on October 10, 1996, Jack G. Catich executed the Jack G. Catich	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Revocable Living Trust (the "Trust"). Settlor	
✓	Verified		was the initial Trustee.	
	Inventory		Jack Catich died on 7/2/2013 at which time	
	PTC		the Trust became irrevocable and Petitioner	
	Not.Cred.		was the sole acting Trustee at that time.	
✓	Notice of Hrg		Settlor was not married and did not have	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	any children. The Trust provides that upon the death of Settlor, the beneficiaries of the	
	Aff.Pub.		Trust were Dorothy Hunter as to 4/5, Victoria	
	Sp.Ntc.		Dillman as to 1/10, Kent Catich as to 1/20	
	Pers.Serv.		and Janie Scheffer as to 1/20. Dorothy Hunter was to be successor Trustee under the	
	Conf.		terms of the original trust. Dorothy Hunter is	
	Screen		settlor's niece and Petitioner believes that	
	Letters		Settlor and Dorothy spent a good deal of	
	Duties/Supp		time together during Settlor's lifetime and	
	Objections		that, for at least a part of settlor's life, they had a close relationship.	
	Video		rida a close relationship.	
	Receipt		Petitioner is the Settlor's nephew by marriage	
	CI Report		and Settlor and Petitioner have had a close	
	9202		relationship from the time Petitioner was a	
✓	Order		boy until the time of Settlor's death. Petitioner and Settlor shared many common	
	Aff. Posting		interests including hunting, fishing and other	Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		outdoor activities and spent a great deal of	Reviewed on: 5/8/2013
	UCCJEA		time over the years engaging in these and	Updates:
	Citation		other activities together.	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice		Please see additional page	File 6 – Catich

6 (additional page 1 of 5) Jack G. Catich Rev Living Trust 10-10-96 Case No. 13CEPR00237

Petitioner alleges that while on a camping trip with the Settlor in September 2007 the Settlor confided in Petitioner that Dorothy Hunter had caused her name to be added to his accounts, and that he was offended because she had become greedy and wanted his money. He was concerned that Dorothy was exerting too much control and influence over him and that he was vulnerable to her wrongdoing due to his increasing age. Settlor asked for Petitioner's assistance in getting Dorothy off his accounts and extricating him from Dorothy's control over his finances. Settlor also told Petitioner that he wanted Petitioner to assist on his accounts instead of Dorothy. Petitioner states he was initially reluctant to become involved in the situation, but Settlor was persistent. Settlor promised that if Petitioner assisted him in getting Dorothy removed from positions of financial influence in his life, and assisted him with his finances and personal care, he would "take care" of petitioner and make up for the inconveniences and time to took to assist him with his financial and personal affairs.

On 9/18/2007 Petitioner accompanied Settlor to the Bank of America and WestAmerica Bank and Settlor removed Dorothy's name from his accounts at those institutions and added Petitioner's name. At the time Settlor was changing his accounts, Settlor discovered that Dorothy had removed \$115,000 from his WestAmerica account and placed in in an account at Fresno Police Department Credit Union without his knowledge or consent. Petitioner accompanied Settlor to Morgan Stanley, where Settlor had a brokerage account and Settlor revoked all authority Dorothy had over this account.

On 9/25/2007 Dorothy became aware that Settlor had removed his name from his accounts at which time Dorothy contacted Settlor and pressured him to change his mind and put her name back on the accounts and continued to pressure Settlor until October 2009.

In October 2007 Dorothy Hunter filed a Petition with Fresno County Superior Court to be appointed temporary and permanent Conservator of the Settlor. The Court Investigator determined that the Settlor was of sound mind and that a conservator was not needed. The Court Investigator Report indicates that the Settlor made it clear that he wanted petitioner to assist him with his finances if he needed the help. Settlor was interviewed and examined by a psychiatrist, Dr. Dwight W. Sievert, who also concluded that Settlor was competent to make decisions in his own life and that he was aware of the events that were happening around him and their implications. The conservatorship Dorothy requested was never granted.

In January 2008, Settlor met with Attorney Lawson Renge and caused a First Amendment to his trust to be prepared. Settlor signed the Amendment on 1/19/2008. The Amended added Petitioner as a co-trustee of the trust with Settlor. It also provided that upon Settlor's death, the trust estate would be distributed as follows: Certain specific gifts of personal property were made. David and Cheryl Hill would receive Settlor's residence. The remaining personal property would be distributed as follows: Kent Catich and Sharon Catich 35.9%, Joan Catich Seffer 3.9%, Shirley Diltman1.9%, Verna Edman 3.9%, Eileen Martin 3.9%, Dorothy Hunter 1.9%, David Hill 35.9%, Linda Porasso1.9%, and Marge Walter 6.9%. Settlor met with Mr. Renge alone and Petitioner believes Mr. Renge went to great lengths to ascertain that Settlor had not been subject to undue influence by Petitioner or anyone else. After Settlor executed the Trust Amendment, assisted Settlor in going to the various institutions where Settlor held assets and changing all of his accounts so that they were titled in the name of the Settlor and Petitioner as co-trustees of the Settlor's living trust. Petitioner believes that the institutions were careful to interview the Settlor alone and determine that he was changing title to the accounts of his own volition. Attorney Renge also prepared at Settlor's request a document by which Settlor revoked any power of attorney by which Settlor appointed Dorothy Hunter as attorney-in-fact.

Please see additional page

6 (additional page 2 of 5) Jack G. Catich Rev Living Trust 10-10-96 Case No. 13CEPR00237

Shortly after 1/18/2008, Petitioner began to spend time assisting Settlor with his financial affairs and personal care, looking in on him frequently, and assisting him with ordinary tasks of life and continued to do so for the rest of his life. Settlor was approximately 92 at the time.

By the middle of 2009, Settlor was becoming very forgetful. He was physically frail and took a number of falls. Petitioner earned his livelihood as a wildland firefighter for the U.S. Forest Service. During the time Petitioner was caring for Settlor beginning in 2007 and continuing until Settlor's death in 2012, Petitioner had the opportunity to go on assignments as a firefighter and safety officer. The typical assignment duration is two weeks during which time the firefighter must go to the site of the fire and remain at the assignment 24-hours a day. During the six fire season when Petitioner was eligible and repeatedly asked to work these assignments, Petitioner refused calls for work due to the fact that he had promised Settlor that he would care for him. Petitioner state he has documentation that between 2007 and 2012 he was called for and refused assignments that would have involved 6,005 hours of work, which work would have earned him \$213,149. During the time Petitioner cared for Settlor, he paid himself \$20.00 per hour plus fuel and expenses. The total amount he paid himself from 2009 to the present was approximately \$40,000.00 plus fuel and expenses.

Beginning approximately 2009, Settlor's memory and mental faculties began to decline. Settlor became persistently confused regarding facts and events in his own life and could not remember pertinent information shortly after it was presented to him. In May and June of 2009 Settlor was the victim of elder abuse. He was befriended by a woman who went by the name of Gina Markus. Gina Markus either by fraud, criminal actions, and/or undue influence managed to gain access to Settlor's Morgan Stanley and Bank of America accounts and withdraw approximately \$37,000. Settlor at this time was very confused and had little or no recollection of any of the events involving this person. The police were called to investigate. Copies of law enforcement reports are attached as Exhibits "G" and "H". In the report the officer makes the observation that Jack Catich was easily confused and had difficulty remembering things and that Jack became frustrated because he could not remember things. The report also recommends having Settlor checked by a physician for dementia. In approximately, August 2009, a social worker from Fresno County investigated Settlor's situation and recommended that Settlor undertake an Alzheimer's study to determine Settlor's capacity.

Petitioner alleges that on 9/18/2009, Dorothy Hunter took Settlor to attorney Robert Koligian for the purpose of signing an additional amendment to his living trust which left the bulk of Settlor's assets to Dorothy. Petitioner believes that Dorothy was active in the procurement of the amendment of the living trust, coerced and persistently attempted to persuade Settlor to change his Trust, contacted Attorney Koligian, and took Settlor to the meetings with the attorney. The document entitled "First Amendment to the Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust Established on October 10, 1996" provided on Settlor's death for gifts of certain items of personal property, gave \$30,000 to David Hill, \$20,000 to Kent Catich, and all the rest of the trust estate to Dorothy Hunter. It also contains language that if the trustee fails or ceases to act, the Settlor shall have the power to designate a successor trustee. If the trustee ceases to act and no successor trustee is designated, the trustees would be Jack G. Catich, Dorothy Hunter and Alan Hunter, in that successive order. The document is erroneously called a First Amendment, but his is incorrect because the Settlor had previously executed a First Amendment on or about January 2008. Settlor would have known this was an error if he had been of sound mind.

Please see additional page

Dept. 303, 9:00 a.m. Monday, May 13, 2013

6 (additional page 3 of 5) Jack G. Catich Rev Living Trust 10-10-96 Case No. 13CEPR00237

Dorothy Hunter knew that Petitioner had been assisting Settlor with his finances for approximately two years and that Petitioner was attorney-in-fact for Settlor, yet Dorothy never advised Petitioner that she was taking Settlor to an attorney, nor did she ever advise Petitioner of the existence of the amendment to the trust which was drafted by Attorney Koligian. Petitioner states he did not learn of the existence of the further amendment to the living trust drafted by Attorney Koligian until after the Settlor died.

Petitioner is alleging the following causes of actions:

UNDUE INFLUENCE

At all times relevant to this action and particularly in 2009, Dorothy Hunter had a confidential relationship with the Settlor, due to the fact that she was his niece, spent time with him at his home, took him out to dinner, and had previously assisted him with his financial affairs previously. Because of their long standing relationship and the fact that Dorothy was assertive and aggressive in her relationship with Settlor, Settlor reposed the trust and confidence in Dorothy as she had considerable influence over him.

LACK OF TESTAMENTARY, CONTRACTUAL CAPACITY AND CAPACITY TO AMEND A TRUST

In September 2009, Settlor was not of sound and disposing mind, and lacked the testamentary capacity, the capacity to enter into contracts, and the capacity to amend a living trust and understand the consequences of the act of amending his trust and the consequences of the bequests made, that would be necessary for him to change his estate plan. At the time he signed the document, Settlor did not have sufficient capacity to understand the nature of the act of executing the trust amendment, understand and recollect the nature of the situation of his property, and remember and understand all his relations to living family members and others whose interests are affected by his estate plan.

CONTRACT TO AMEND THE TRUST

Petitioner continued to handle the financial affairs of Settlor and care for Settlor until he died on 7/2/2012. Petitioner cared for Settlor at great financial cost to himself. He was required to forego work which would have earned him in excess of \$200,000 from 2007 through 2012 so that he could be available to take care of Settlor. Settlor had made a contract with Petitioner that he would take care of Settlor and compensate Petitioner for the time, effort, and inconvenience and financial sacrifice that such a commitment would entail to Petitioner. In exchange Petitioner promised Settlor that he would eliminate Dorothy Hunter's financial influence, take over Settlor's finances, and care for Settlor until he died. It was important to Settlor that he remained at home until he died, and Petitioner exerted great effort to make sure that Settlor's desires were honored.

Please see additional page

6 (additional page 4 of 5) Jack G. Catich Rev Living Trust 10-10-96 Case No. 13CEPR00237

CONFIRMATION OF TRUSTEE

After Settlor died, Petitioner was advised that Settlor executed the purported amendment to the trust dated 9/18/2009. Petitioner continued to act as trustee as he had been for the last several years. Petitioner continued to act as trustee as he had been for the last several years, and has continued to pay Settlor's debts. Neither Dorothy Hunter nor anyone else objected to Petitioner's actions in continuing to act as Trustee.

After Settlor died, Petitioner met with Dorothy Hunter and attorneys Robert Koligian and Lawson Renge. At that time, all the parties agreed that Petitioner should continue to act as trustee of the Trust as he had been doing.

After Settlor died, Dorothy Hunter's son, Alan Hunter changed the deadbolt locks on the Settlor's residence, so that no one, including Petitioner, could gain access to it. This has made it impossible for Petitioner to secure and maintain the property.

In March 2013, Petitioner's attorney Michael Buttner contacted Dorothy Hunter through her attorney Gary Motsenbocker indicated that he was unable to contact Dorothy Hunter. After approximately one week, Petitioner has not received a response from Dorothy Hunter.

Petitioner alleges Dorothy Hunter believes she is trustee of the Trust. However, she has taken no action to serve as trustee. She has not offered to pay any bills, or take any of the administrative actions which are the responsibility of the Trustee. Within the last week, Dorothy went to Bank of America and Morgan Stanley to unilaterally have Settlor's accounts transferred to her name as trustee of the Trust. She did not give notice to Petitioner and Petitioner only learned of the activity when he received calls from both of the institutions.

Dorothy Hunter is not the legitimate successor trustee of the Trust. The amendment upon which she relies to claim trusteeship which was executed on 9/18/2009 was procured by undue influence and signed by the Settlor at a time when he lacked testamentary capacity and contractual capacity to know what he was doing.

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- 1. Appointing and/or confirming DAVID HILL as Trustee of the Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust.
- 2. Declaring that the document executed by Jack G. Catich on 9/18/2009 entitled: "First Amendment to The Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust Established on October 10, 1996" is rescinded and set aside and is null and void and of no force or effect.
- 3. Declaring that the document executed by Jack G. Catich on January 19, 2008 entitled: "First Amendment to Trust" is a valid and enforceable amendment to the Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust and declaring and decreeing that David Hill as Trustee of the trust follow its terms in settling up and disposing of the assets of the Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust.
- 4. As an alternative remedy, decreeing that Petitioner is entitled to 35.9% of the assets of The Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust under a valid and binding contract between Jack G. Catich and Petitioner.
- 5. As an alternative remedy, decreeing that Petitioner is entitled to receive \$213,149.00 from the trust estate either as a creditor pursuant to contract between Settlor and Petitioner.

Please see additional page

6 (additional page 5 of 5) Jack G. Catich Rev Living Trust 10-10-96 Case No. 13CEPR00237

Response to David Hill's Petition filed by Kent Catich and Sharon Catich on 5/7/2013. Respondents Kent Catich and Sharon Catich named beneficiaries under the terms of the January 19, 2008 First Amendment to The Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust respectfully respond to David Hill's Petition. Respondents join the petition in so far as it challenges the validity of the September 18, 2009 Amendment on the ground of undue influence. Respondents believe the purported amendment was the result of the undue influence of Dorothy Hunter and, possibly, by her son Alan Hunter as well. Respondents further believe that Jack's physical and mental health had deteriorated by the time the purported Amendment was caused to be made and signed, and that such deterioration rendered Jack susceptible to the undue influences of others including, specifically, Dorothy Hunter and Alan Hunter.

Respondents believe that but for the undue influence of Dorothy Hunter and Alan Hunter, Jack would not have executed the instrument since such instrument did not represent his intent for the disposition of his assets upon his death.

Wherefore, Respondents pray for an Order as follows:

- 1. Determining the 9/18/2009 Amendment to the Trust to be invalid and unenforceable.
- 2. Determining the 1/19/2008 Amendment to the Trust to be valid and enforceable.
- 3. Confirming Petitioner David Hill as sole successor Trustee of The Jack G. Catich Revocable Living Trust.
- 4. Denying the existence of a valid and binding contract between Petitioner David Hill and Jack G. Catich whereby Jack G. Catich agreed to leave to Petitioner a specific portion of his estate for services rendered.
- 5. Denying Petitioner's claim for damages.

Poochigian, Mark S (for Gregory C. Simonian – Petitioner – Nephew)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 02/22/2013		GREGORY C. SIMONIAN, nephew is petitioner and request appointment as Administrator with Will annexed with bond set at \$1,423,000.00.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need date of death of the decedent's parents per Local Rule 7.1.1 (D).
Co ✓	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. S Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred.	Full IAEA- o.k. Will dated: 09/05/1996	Note: If the potition is granted status
✓ ✓	Notice of Hrg	Codicil: 02/02/2004 / Residence: Fresno Publication: The Business Journal	Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows: • Friday, 06/14/2013 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the Bond and
✓ ✓	Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt	Estimated Value of the Estate: Personal property \$1,248,000.00 Real property \$175,000.00 Total: \$1,423,000.00	 Friday, 10/18/2013 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the inventory and appraisal and Friday, 07/18/2014 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first account and
✓	CI Report 9202 Order	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	final distribution. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice		Reviewed by: LV Reviewed on: 05/08/2013 Updates: Recommendation: File 7 – Rudy

Sable Carter and Killian Layne Carter (GUARD/P) Case No. 10CEPR00932

Atty

8

Vasquez, Natasha Renee (pro per – mother/Petitioner)
Petition for Termination of Guardianship

Petition for Termination of Guardianship					
Sable, 11	NATASHA VASQUEZ, mother, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:			
	Petitioner.	CONTINUED FROM 04/22/13			
Killian, 8					
	MARIE WESCOTT, non-relative, was	1. Need proof of service by mail of			
	appointed Guardian on 12/14/10. –	Notice of Hearing with a copy of the			
	Served by mail on 03/03/13	Petiton for Termination of			
Cont. from 042213	, , , ,	Guardianship at least 15 days before			
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Father: KEITH CARTER	the hearing on:			
		- Keith Carter (father)			
✓ Verified	Paternal grandfather: CHRISTOPHER	- Christopher Carter (paternal			
Inventory	CARTER	grandfather)			
PTC	Paternal grandmother: TAMERA	 Joseph Vasquez (maternal grandfather) 			
Not.Cred.	COLLINSWORTH - deceased	grandiainer) - Dracos Becker (brother)			
✓ Notice of		Dideos beekei (bioinei)			
Hrg	Maternal grandfather: JOSEPH				
✓ Aff.Mail w/	VASQUEZ				
Aff.Pub.	Maternal grandmother: SHELLY				
Sp.Ntc.	FULLERTON – Served by mail on				
Pers.Serv.	03/03/13				
Conf.	1				
Screen	Sibling: DRACOS BECKER (12)				
Letters	1				
Duties/Supp	Petitioner requests that the				
Objections	guardianship be terminated, and				
Video	alleges that the guardian does not				
Receipt	return phone calls or keep her informed				
✓ CI Report	on the welfare of the children.				
	Petitioner alleges that the guardian is				
9202 ✓ Order	verbally hostile and misled the mediator				
Olde!	when they attended mediation.	Reviewed by: JF			
Aff. Posting	Further, Petitioner alleges that the				
Status Rpt	guardian has not kept the agreement	Reviewed on: 05/07/13			
UCCJEA	that was made during mediation and	Updates: 05/09/13			
Citation	hangs up on the mother during phone	Recommendation:			
FTB Notice	calls.	File 8 – Carter			
	Court Investigator Ionnifer Verner filed a				
	Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a				
	report on 04/16/13.				

Declaration of Natasha Vasquez, mother, filed 04/19/13 states: she does not feel that Marie Westcott is an appropriate guardian for her children. She states that she receives poor communication from Marie and she rarely responds to calls, texts, voicemails, or e-mails. Despite repeated requests, the guardian has failed to provide her information as to the children's health care and dental needs. Further, the guardian has failed to tell her when the children were having visitation with Pete Becker, her son Dracos' grandfather. Mr. Becker lives only 1.5 miles away and it would be a great opportunity for her to see the children since they live in Visalia which makes regular visits difficult. Ms. Vasquez states that the guardian fails to check the children's things when they come for a visit and Killian has been without appropriate clothing on more than one occasion. Ms. Vasquez states that she has had to buy him clothing so that he would have appropriate clothes to wear. Further, Ms. Vasquez states that Marie Westcott has lied to her, friends, the Court and the police. Ms. Vasquez states that she had a difficult time getting information from the Sheriff's department. She states that she understands the issues with Dracos and has done everything she has been told to do to care for him. She does not understand why she has been cut off from having communication with the children even though she had no role in the alleged occurrence. She states that she was not present when the events allegedly took place. She states that she didn't even know that Killian & Sable were at Pete Becker's house when the incident allegedly took place. She states that she loves her children and agrees that they should seek counseling. She states that she is able to help them and she now has a better understanding of their situation. She states that she is working to provide a future for herself and her children. She requests that the Court takes time with this delicate matter and has faith that something positive will come out of all of this.

Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a Supplemental Report on 05/08/13.

Sauceda, Graziela (pro per Petitioner/paternal grandmother)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 5/13/2013	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
- 13	,,		Continued from 3/4/13. Minute order
	1.6 000410	GRAZIELA SAUCEDA , paternal grandmother, is petitioner.	states the Court informs the Petitioner that the mother and father need to
Со	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Father: WILLIAM ANTHONY SANTOS	be personally served. The Petitioner is to cure the remaining defects. As of
✓	Verified	Mother: VIVA GARCIA	5/8/13 the following issues remain:
	Inventory PTC	Paternal grandfather: not listed Maternal grandparents: not listed	Petition does not include the names and addresses of the
<u></u>	Notice of		paternal grandfather and the maternal grandparents.
	Hrg	Petitioner states mother has left the	2. Need proof of <u>personal</u> service of
✓	Aff.Mail Aff.Pub.	minor with petitioner and she does not want to take care of her.	the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition, or Consent
	Sp.Ntc.	Court Investigator Samantha Henson's	and Waiver of Notice, or
	Pers.Serv.	Report filed on 2/26/13.	Declaration of Due Diligence on: a. William Anthony Santos
✓	Conf. Screen		(father) b. Viva Garcia (mother)
✓	Letters		c. Abrina N. Santos (minor)
✓	Duties/Supp		3. Need proof of service of the
	Objections		Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition, or Consent
	Video Receipt		and Waiver of Notice, or Declaration of Due Diligence on:
✓	CI Report		a. Paternal grandfather
-	9202		b. Maternal grandfatherc. Maternal grandmother
V	Order		4. UCCJEA is incomplete. Need residence information for the minor for 2007 – 9/20012.
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 5/8/13/13
✓	UCCJEA		Updates:
	Citation FTB Notice		Recommendation:
<u> </u>	FID NOTICE		File 9 - Santos

10 Isaac Cervantes & Bryan Cervantes (GUARD/P) Case No. 13CEPR00194 Atty

Raygoza, Gilberto (pro per – maternal grandfather/Petitioner)

Atty

Raygoza, Martha E. (pro per – maternal grandmother/Petitioner)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Isaac, 9	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 05/13/13	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit.	GILBERTO RAYGOZA and MARTHA RAYGOZA, maternal grandparents, are Petitioners. Father: JAVIER CERVANTES Mother: CRYSTAL RAYGOZA – Personally served on 03/15/13	1. Need proof of personal service at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person or Consent &
Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. ✓ Pers.Serv. ✓ Conf. Screen	Paternal grandfather: AUGUSTINE CERVANTES – Served by mail on 04/24/13 Paternal grandmother: HERMELINDA CERVATNTES – Served by mail on 04/24/13 Petitioners allege that the parents are separated. The father is violent and the mother abuses drugs. She uses the money she gets on drugs and has failed to keep up the utilities in their home. She has had hallucinations and has been ripping the carpet off the floor. The bathroom of her home smells due to being used despite not having running water.	Waiver of Notice <u>or</u> Declaration of Due Diligence for: - Javier Cervantes (father)
✓ Letters ✓ Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt ✓ CI Report 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting	Court Investigator Jo Ann Morris filed a report on 05/07/13.	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt V UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice		Reviewed on: 05/08/13 Updates: Recommendation: File 10 – Cervantes

Robertson, Stephanie (pro per – paternal aunt/Petitioner)

nt of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Atty Robertson, S	tephanie (pro per – paternal aunt/Pe Petition for Appointment of Guardi
Age: 6 months	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 05/13/13
	STEPHANIE ROBERTSON, paternal aunt, is Petitioner.
Cont. from	Father: JOSHUA ROBERTSON –
Aff.Sub.Wit. ✓ Verified	Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 03/27/13
Inventory	Mother: DOMANIQUE KITCHENER –
PTC Not.Cred.	Consent & Waiver of Notice filed 04/25/13
Notice of X	Paternal grandfather: ORVAL
Aff.Mail x	ROBERTSON
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc.	Paternal grandmother: DENISE FANNON
Pers.Serv. n/a ✓ Conf.	Maternal grandparents:
Screen ✓ Letters	UNKNOWN
✓ Duties/Supp	Petitioner alleges that both parents are incarcerated and not
Objections Video	able to care for the minor. Petitioner states that CPS has
Receipt ✓ CI Report	urged her to seek guardianship.
9202 ✓ Order	Court Investigator Julie Negrete filed a report on 04/25/13.
	med d repoir on 04/25/10.
Aff. Posting	
Status Rpt	

UCCJEA

Citation

FTB Notice

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

- 1. Need **Notice of Hearing**.
- 2. Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of **Hearing** with a copy of the **Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person** or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for:
 - Orval Robertson (paternal grandfather)
 - Denise Fannon (paternal grandmother)
 - Maternal grandfather
 - Maternal grandmother

Note: Per CI report, Petitioner stated that the child may have Indian ancestry and a Notice of Indian Child Custody Proceeding was mailed to the Petitioner, but has not been returned. If the child has Indian ancestry, the following items will be needed:

1. Notice of Child Custody Proceeding for Indian Child (Form ICWA-030) to be completed and returned to the Probate Clerk's Office <u>as soon as possible</u>. See Probate Code §1460.2, and CA Rules of Court 7.1015.

The general guardianship hearing **cannot go** forward unless this form has been served on the child's parent; any Indian custodian; any Indian tribe that may have a connection to the child; the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), and possibly the U.S. Secretary of the Interior, by certified or reaistered U.S. Mail, return receipt requested.

A blank copy of the form is in the file for Petitioner, and it should be completed and returned <u>as soon as possible</u> to the Probate Clerk's Office. The Probate Clerk's Office will complete service.

A continuance of the general hearing will be required to ensure that the appropriate parties and agencies received 60 days' notice. See Probate Code 1460.2, and CA Rules of Court 7.1015.

Reviewed by: JF **Reviewed on:** 05/09/13 **Updates: Recommendation:** File 11 - Robertson-Kichener Mora, Miguel (Pro Per – Petitioner – Maternal Grandfather)
Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

II A ~.	o· 1		MIGUEL MORA, maternal grandfather, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Age	E. I		petitioner.	NEEDS/FROBLEMIS/COMMENTS.
				1 Nood Nation of Hagring
			Father: Not Listed	Need Notice of Hearing.
			rainer. Noi Lisiea	2. Need proof of personal service fifteen
Col	nt. from		Mother: JUANA ROSA MORA , Declaration of	2. Need proof of personal service fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing of the
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Due Diligence filed 03/13/2013	Notice of Hearing along with a copy
			Due biligerice filed 03/13/2013	of the Petition for Appointment of
✓	Verified		Paternal Grandparents: Not Listed	Guardian or consent and waiver of
	Inventory			notice or declaration of due
	PTC		Maternal Grandmother: Juana Gonzales	diligence for:
	Not.Cred.		Trial of all fair for for 130 and 300 izalos	Father (Not Listed)
╟═┽			Petitioner states: the child was abandoned	 Juana Rosa Mora (Mother)-
	Notice of	Χ	and left in petitioner's care since birth.	Unless the Court dispenses
	Hrg		Petitioner would like to keep him in his family	with notice.
<u> </u>	Aff.Mail	Χ	and not be part of the state.	Note: Declaration of Due Diligence filed
	Aff.Pub.		<u>'</u>	on 03/13/2013 states petitioner has not
	Sp.Ntc.		Court Investigator Jennifer Young's report	seen the mother since June 2012.
	Pers.Serv.	Х	filed 05/03/2013.	
1	Conf.			3. Need proof of service fifteen (15)
*	Screen			days prior to the hearing of the
1	Letters			Notice of Hearing along with a copy
~	Leners			of the Petition for Appointment of
√	Duties/Supp			Guardian or consent and waiver of
	Objections			notice or declaration of due
	Video			diligence for:
				Paternal Grandparents (Not Listed)
╟═┼	Receipt			Listed) • Juana Gonzales (Maternal
✓	CI Report			 Juana Gonzales (Maternal Grandmother)
	9202			Grandinoniaj
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 05/09/2013
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:
	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 12 – Mora

12

13 Atty Atty

Jimenez, Andrea Teresa (Pro Per – Petitioner – Granddaughter) Gilmore, David M. (for Richard Chavez – Objector – Son)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

Age: 89		ANDREA TERESA JIMENEZ, granddaughter, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
DO	PB: 02/09/1924	petitioner and requests appointment as Conservator of the person.	Court Investigator Advised Rights on 05/07/2013.	
		Voting Rights Affected		
Со	nt. from	Petitioner states: proposed conservatee is unable to provide for her personal needs due to mild	Voting Rights Affected Need Minute Order.	
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified	advanced dementia and requires assistance and someone present with her 24 hours a day.	1. Need Notice of Hearing.	
	Inventory	Objection to Petition for Appointment of Conservator	2. Need proof of service at least	
	PTC	filed 05/08/2013 by Richard Chavez, proposed	fifteen (15) days prior to the	
	Not.Cred.	conservatee's son. Mr. Chavez states he is already	hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for	
	Notice of Hrg	designated as conservator for Apolonia Garcia Chavez should one be required. He is the successor	Appointment of Conservator	
		Trustee in the event she becomes incapacitated or no longer able to take care of her affairs. Mr.	for: • Richard Chavez	
	Aff.Pub.	Chavez states a conservatorship is not necessary as	Ronald Chavez	
	Sp.Ntc.	he goes to her home twice a day to make sure she	 Rodney Chavez 	
	Pers.Serv.	takes her medication and to check her blood sugar.	John Curtis Tigg: Webster	
✓	Conf. Screen	She often stays overnight at his house. Mr. Chavez states he met with Dr. Haider Chaudhry at Kaiser	Tina WebsterDebbie FlintFelicia Franco	
✓	Letters	Permanente after he conducted a series of tests on Mrs. Chavez. The doctor concluded that his mother	Felicia FrancoMonica McBrerty	
✓	Duties/Supp	does not need to be in a restricted or secure facility, but she is no longer capable of making certain		
✓	Objections	decisions. As holder of the power of attorney and as		
✓	Video Receipt	 her successor Trustee, Mrs. Chavez' health, financial, and other needs are well cared for without needing the appointment of conservator. 		
✓	CI Report			
	9202	Mr. Chavez objects to the appointment of Andrea Teresa Jimenez, as conservator. Since Ms. Jimenez		
✓	Order	has been residing with the proposed conservatee		
	Aff. Posting	she has caused distressing events for her. Mr.	Reviewed by: LV	
	Status Rpt	Chavez states that Ms. Jimenez does not assist with	Reviewed on: 05/09/2013	
	UCCJEA	the proposed conservatee's medications and makes medical appointments without Mr. Chavez'	Updates: 05/10/2013	
✓	Citation	knowledge or consent. Mr. Chavez states that Ms.	Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice	Jimenez is telling family members that the proposed conservatee "promised" her the house however the house is in the Trust.	File 13 – Chavez	
		Please see additional page		
			13	

13 (additional page) Apolonia Garcia Chavez (CONS/P)

Case No.13CEPR00277

Declaration filed by Andrea Teresa Jimenez, Petitioner, filed on 05/10/2013 includes letter in response to objection by Attorney for Richard Chavez. Ms. Jimenez states that her only intention to uproot her family from the Midwest and move them to Fresno was to care for her elderly grandmother who had raised her since the age of six. She states that she never discussed with the family members that her grandmother "promised" her the home. Ms. Jimenez says that her concern for her grandmother being alone was her only intention for moving in with her. Ms. Jimenez alleges that Richard Chavez, Objector, has repeatedly refused to leave the proposed conservatee's Glucose Testing Meter in the house so that Ms. Jimenez could test her sugar levels. Ms. Jimenez completed training as a Nursing Assistant/ Home Health Aid (attached is her certificate of completion). Petitioner does not believe that Richard Chavez or his family are caring for the proposed conservatee. Petitioner states that her grandmother has expressed to her that she is happy to have the petitioner and her family in her home.

Court Investigator Jennifer Young's report filed 05/07/2013.

Maria Cardona, Carmelita Cardona & Pedro Sanchez (GUARD/P) Case No. 13CEPR00354

Pro Per Sanchez, Rosa Elena (Pro Per Petitioner, maternal aunt)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

Maria Age: 17 years Carmelita Age: 15		rs	TEMPORARY GRANTED EX PARTE EXPIRES 5/13/2013	NE	EEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
years Pedro Age: 10 years		rs	General Hearing set for 7/1/2013	1.	Need Notice of Hearing.
Cont. from			ROSA ELENA SANCHEZ, maternal aunt, is	2.	Need proof of five (5) court days' notice by personal
√	Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified		Petitioner.		service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the
	Inventory PTC		Father: PEDRO CARDONA		Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian, or
	Not.Cred. Notice of	Х	Mother: CARMEN LETICIA SANCHEZ		Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of
	Hrg Aff.Mail		Paternal grandfather: Unknown Paternal grandmother: Unknown		Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence for:
	Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc.		Maternal grandfather: Jesus Sanchez		Carmen Leticia Sanchez, mother;
√	Pers.Serv. Conf.	X	Maternal grandmother: Rosalinda Sanchez Petitioner states the mother has been unstable		Pedro Cardona, father;Maria Cardona,proposed ward (age)
<u> </u>	Screen Letters Duties/Supp		and violent towards the children because of drug use, there is a pending and on-going CPS		proposed ward (age 17); • Carmelita Cardona,
	Objections Video		investigation, and CPS released the children to her care on 4/20/2013. Petitioner states the		proposed ward (age 15).
	Receipt CI Report		children fear their mother as she is verbally and physically abusive. Petitioner states she	3.	UCCJEA form filed on 4/29/2013 does not
	9202		has taken part in raising the children they		provide residence information for the last 5
	Order		have lived with her for 7 years before, and her home has been the only stability they've known.		years as required.
	Aff. Posting			Re	eviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt			Re	eviewed on: 5/8/13
✓	UCCJEA				odates:
	Citation			_	ecommendation:
	FTB Notice			Fil	e 14 – Cardona & Sanchez

14

15 Atty Atty

Shyann Lopez Special Needs Trust

Burnside, Leigh W. (for Bank of the West – Trustee – Petitioner)

Poochigian, Mark (for IBAR)

(1) Petition for Settlement of Eighth Account Current and Report of Trustee and (2) Approval of Trustee and (3) Attorney's Fees and Costs

Age: 19		BANK OF THE WEST, Trustee without bond, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		Petitioner.	Continued from 4-30-13
Co	nt. from 043013 Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory	Account period: 11-1-10 through 12-31-12 Accounting: \$660,407.68 Beginning POH: \$402,144.39 Ending POH: \$420,233.74 (\$23,421.39 cash, residence, wheelchair, laptop, lien on van)	Minute Order 4-30-13: Ms. Burnside informs the Court that the purchase of the van has been put-off. The Court directs both counsel to communicate with one another with respect to the issue regarding the
	PTC	Trustee: \$250.00 for preparation of this account	trustee. Continued to 5-13-13.
>	Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail W	Trustee: Ratification of compensation paid on a monthly basis during this account period totaling \$7,044.61 (.75% - less than fee schedule 1.30%) plus \$1,000.00 for preparation of income tax returns (less than fee schedule	Note: The Court previously authorized funds up to \$60,000 the purchase of a new van with modifications for the beneficiary's benefit on 7-7-11;
	Aff.Pub.	IBAR: Ratification of compensation paid on a	however, this petition states a new vehicle has not yet been
	Pers.Serv.	monthly basis during this account period totaling	purchased. The Court may
	Conf.	\$7,043.02 (.75% market value excluding annuities)	require status on the current van and the proposed
	Screen	Attorney: \$16,588.00 (pursuant to separate fee	purchase, as this request was
	Letters Duties/Supp	declaration, for 80.60 hours @ \$75-375 hr)	made over two years ago in January 2011.
	Objections	Costs: \$226.00 (certified copy, filing)	Juliodry 2011.
	Video	Petitioner requests an order:	
	Receipt	Settling, allowing and approving the account	
	CI Report	and ratifying, confirming and approving all acts and transactions of Petitioner relating to the	
÷	2620(c) Order	account;	
	Aff. Posting Status Rpt	Allowing compensation to Petitioner of \$250 for preparation of this account;	Reviewed by: skc Reviewed on: 5-8-13
	UCCJEA Citation FTB Notice	 Ratifying compensation paid to Petitioner of \$7,044.61 plus \$1,000.00 for preparation of income tax returns; 	Updates: Recommendation: File 15 – Lopez
		 Ratifying compensation paid to IBAR of \$7,043.02; 	
		Authorizing continued payment to IBAR at the same rate as currently being charged;	
		 Authorizing payment to Dowling Aaron Incorporated for fees and costs totaling \$16,814.00; 	
		 Allowing Hector Medina to continue to receive payments for attendant care with said aggregate cap to increase by 3% per annum; 	
		8. That no bond be required of Petitioner; and	
		For such other and further orders as this Court may deem proper.	
			15

Sambrano, Rosemary J (Pro Per Petitioner/Cousin)

Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardianship of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)

	Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardianship of the Person (Prob. C. 2250)				
Age: 1 year			GENERAL HEARING 7/1/2013	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
			ROSEMARY J. SAMBRANO , cousin, is petitioner.	THIS PETITION IS FOR JOSHUA GONZALEZ ONLY.	
✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 Order	X	Father: JOSE LUIS GONZALEZ Mother: PRECIOUS RAMIREZ Paternal grandfather: Not listed Paternal grandmother: Juanita Rivera Maternal grandmother: Not listed Maternal grandmother: Roxann Hinojoza Petitioner states father is in jail. Mother is homeless and left the child.	 Guardianship of Promise Gonzalez was previously granted to Roxane Hinojoza on 9/4/2010. Need Notice of Hearing. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence on: Jose Luis Gonzalez (father) Precious Ramirez (mother) 	
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT	
	Status Rpt			Reviewed on: 5/9/2013	
✓	UCCJEA			Updates:	
	Citation			Recommendation:	
	FTB Notice			File 16 – Gonzalez	

Hemb, Richard E (for Amber Lynne White – Petitioner – Daughter)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 02/15/2013		AMBER LYNNE WHITE, daughter/named	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		executor without bond, is petitioner.	Minute Order of 05/06/2013 states there was no appearance.
Cont. from 050613		Petitioner is a resident of Lincoln, Arkansas.	The following issues still remain:
✓	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Full IAEA – o.k.	Proposed personal representative is
	Inventory PTC	Will dated: 10/23/2006	a resident of Lincoln, Arkansas. Probate Code §8571 states not withstanding a waiver of bond, the
√	Not.Cred. Notice of	Residence: Fresno	Court in its discretion may require a nonresident personal representative to give a bond in an amount
√	Hrg W/	Publication: The Business Journal	determined by the Court.
✓	Aff.Pub.	Estimated value of the Estate:	Note: If the petition is granted status
	Pers.Serv.	Real property - \$200,000.00	hearings will be set as follows:
	Conf. Screen	Duolo arko Doforco o Diole Casible	• Friday, 10/11/2013 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing of the
✓	Letters	Probate Referee: Rick Smith	inventory and appraisal and
✓	Duties/Supp		• Friday, 07/11/2014 at 9:00a.m.
	Objections		in Dept. 303 for the filing of the first
	Video Receipt		account and final distribution.
	CI Report		Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the
	9202	-	hearings on the matter the status
✓	Order		hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.
	Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV
	Status Rpt	-	Reviewed on: 05/09/2013
\vdash	UCCJEA	-	Updates:
	Citation FTB Notice	-	Recommendation: File 17 – Doolittle